Background: There are very less data on the comparison between the cognitive profile in Parkinson’s disease (PD) and Parkinson’s-plus groups, especially in India. Aims: The aim of this study is to compare the cognitive profile across PD, progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP), and multiple system atrophy (MSA) groups and compare them using Mini–Mental State Examination (MMSE), frontal assessment battery (FAB), and verbal fluency tests. Settings and Design: This was a cross-sectional study. Materials and Methods: MMSE, FAB, and verbal fluency tests were administered in a total of 73 patients constituting 22 patients in MSA, 26 patients in PD, and 25 patients in PSP group, respectively. Twenty-six participants both age- and gender-matched were enrolled in control group. Statistical Analysis: Statistical analysis was done using SPSS Version 20.0. Descriptive statistics were done to find out the mean and standard deviation of different variables. ANOVA was done for followed by post hoc Bonferroni test to assess the cognitive function in three groups. Results: ANOVA showed that there is a significant difference for MMSE scores ($P = 0.038$) being worse scores for PSP and maximum for MSA. A significant difference was found for FAB scores within three groups. There is a significant difference for FAB scores ($P = 0.00003$) being worse scores for PSP and highest scores obtained for PD. All the subtests of FAB test differed significantly except motor programming across MSA, PSP, and PD groups. Conclusions: Our data suggest that global cognitive impairment and executive dysfunction are worst in PSP among the three groups. Patients with MSA had significant cognitive decline as opposed to previous experience. FAB scores and verbal fluency tests are good tests to assess cognitive impairment in these diseases. Subsets of FAB score have significant differences but cannot help differentiating conclusively between these three diseases.
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INTRODUCTION

Parkinsonism is a syndrome characterized by tremor at rest, rigidity, bradykinesia, loss of postural reflexes, flexed posture, and freezing. Parkinson disease (PD) is the most common cause of Parkinsonism in patients referred to specialized movement disorder clinics. The second most common group of Parkinsonism, being categorized clinically as Parkinsonism-plus syndromes depending on associated neurological features.

The spectrum of nonmotor features in Parkinson and Parkinsonism-plus syndromes (progressive supranuclear palsy [PSP], multiple system atrophy [MSA]) is broad and often missed in clinical practice. Cognitive disturbances are one of the important nonmotor features which have as much impact on the quality of life of a patient.
patient with Parkinsonism as the motor symptoms.[1]
The cognitive impairment has long been ignored, and
with the advancement in management of these patients
and increased life expectancy, the cognitive decline is
becoming apparent and needs to be addressed. Foltynie
et al. evidenced that 36% of those in an incident cohort
of PD patients had evidence of cognitive impairment.[2]

PSP is characterized by supranuclear ophthalmoparesis
and Parkinsonism and was first described by Steele et al.
in 1964.[3] Mild dementia was noticed in early stages of
the disease in their original monograph. Despite greater
awareness in recent years, cognitive decline in PSP
remain undiagnosed or misdiagnosed for much of their
disease duration.

MSA was described by Graham and Oppenheimer
in 1969, as a syndrome characterized clinically by
Parkinsonism and dysautonomia.[4] Cognitive impairment
was considered a nonsupporting feature, and the first
diagnostic criteria considered dementia as an exclusion
criterion for the diagnosis of MSA.[5] However, in the
past few years, cognitive impairment has been found to
be a frequent feature in MSA based on evidence from
qualitative neuropsychological assessment. Dementia in
MSA is now reported in 14%–16% of cases.[6,7]

There is dearth of literature regarding cognitive profile
in PD and Parkinsonism-plus syndromes in India. In one
of the studies from India, comparing neuropsychological
functions in PD and Parkinson-plus syndromes, global,
and frontal dysfunction were worst in PSP as compared
to PD and MSA, and severity of cognitive dysfunction
in these diseases was related to the distribution and extent
of pathological changes affecting the striatofrontal
circuits.[8]

PD is differentiated from other forms of Parkinsonism,
including MSA and PSP by defining clinical criteria’s as
no reliable diagnostic biomarkers have been described
yet. The differences in the cognitive profile of these three
diseases can help in differentiating and understanding
the basic pathological differences among these diseases.
This information may be helpful in guiding physician,
patients, and their families in the planning for long-term
rehabilitative care.

**Materials and Methods**

This was a cross-sectional study conducted in a tertiary
care center in Rajasthan in the rural population. This
study was done in a time span of 1 year. Routine
admissions were monitored to neurology ward, and
patients of PSP, PD, and MSA were enrolled with
clinical diagnosis of PD according to the UKPD
diagnostic criteria.[9] PSP using the National Institute for
Neurological Disorders and Society for PSP criteria[10]
and MSA using consensus diagnostic criteria.[11] Patients
gave informed consent, and this study was approved by
the ethics committee of the hospital.

The proforma consisted of three sections where the first
section contained epidemiological information about
the patient’s age, sex, education, and residence. The
second section contained information about the present
symptoms and duration of diseases. The third section
consisted of neurological examination.

The screening of cognition was assessed using Mini–
Mental State Examination (MMSE). MMSE scores
below 24 were taken as indicating cognitive impairment.
Detailed neuropsychological evaluation was done, and
patients were assessed for frontal, parietal, temporal,
and occipital lobe dysfunction. Patients where then
specifically assessed for frontal lobe dysfunction using
frontal assessment battery (FAB score) and verbal
fluency test.

FAB score consists of six subtests: (i) Similarities
(conceptualization); (ii) lexical fluency (mental
flexibility); (iii) motor series (programming); (iv)
conflicting instructions (sensitivity to interference);
(v) go–no go (inhibition control); and (vi) prehension
behavior (environmental autonomy). Each subtest is
rated from 3 to 0, with the total score, therefore, ranging
from 18 to 0. Performance on the test correlates well
with other conventional tests of executive function.[12]
FAB scores below 12 were taken as indicating cognitive
impairment.

The verbal fluency test is a short test of verbal
functioning. It consists of tests for fluency (initial letter
fluency[13] starting with the letter “P” and category
fluency for animals-time 1 min).[14] The participant’s
score in each task was the number of unique correct
words.

The mean scores were calculated and compared among
three diseases and also with age-matched controls. The
control group consisted of healthy age, gender-
and education-matched individuals, and a total of twenty
participants were taken as control. Patients were
excluded if the history of any other neurological disease,
brain injury, substance abuse, major depressive or
psychotic disorder, delirium, stroke, transient ischemic
attacks, brain tumors or brain surgery, and individuals
with uncorrected visual or auditory defects.

The aim of the present study is to study the difference in
the cognitive profile of patients with PD, MSA, and PSP.

Statistical analysis: means and standard deviations
were determined for each group using SPSS Version
RESULTS
A total of 73 patients were enrolled in pathological group constituting 22 patients in MSA, 26 patients in PD, and 25 patients in PSP group, respectively. Twenty-six participants both age- and gender-matched were enrolled in control group. The mean age of experimental group and control group was 62.4 ± 8.37 years and 61.05 ± 7.07 years, respectively. The gender distribution for three subgroups (diagnosis group) and control group is given in Table 1. It was observed that males were more in every experimental group compared to females though not clinically significant within and across the groups (P = 0.276). The demographics are shown in Table 1.

Majority of the patients were from lower education group. Forty percent of MSA, 60% of PSP, and 42.3% of PD were 10th passed. 22.7% of MSA, 4.0% of PSP, and 15.4% of PD patients were 12th passed. Almost less than one-fourth of patients in each group were graduates and very few <15% were seen as postgraduates.

When compared within subgroups (MSA, PD, and PSP), it was seen disease duration was significantly different (P = 0.00001) within the groups. Presentation of cognitive impairment was earliest in PSP (22 ± 8.2 months) and PD (25 ± 8.3 months) as compared to MSA (26 ± 18.1 months).

Table 2 shows P values are significantly different across three neurological conditions when compared with control group. ANOVA is done to study within group effect between different pathological groups which shows that there is a significant difference for MMSE scores (P = 0.038) being worse scores for PSP and maximum for MSA. Post hoc Bonferroni test shows that there is a significant difference for MMSE scores when MSA group compared with PSP but not with PD group.

A significant difference was found for FAB scores within three groups. The highest scores obtained for PD and least for PSP. ANOVA test showed that there is a significant difference for FAB scores (P = 0.00003) between different pathological groups, being worse scores for PSP (11.16 ± 0.47). Post hoc Bonferroni test shows that there is a significant difference for FAB scores when MSA group compared with PSP but not with PD group and PD group varies significantly from PSP. ANOVA test showed that all the subtests of FAB test differed significantly across MSA, PSP, and PD groups except motor programming.

Post hoc Bonferroni test analysis shows that there is a significant difference for conceptualization scores between MSA and PSP groups as shown in Table 3. Similarly, between PSP and PD and PSP varies from MSA. In addition, post hoc Bonferroni test analysis for mental flexibility shows that MSA varies significantly with PD and PSP. Motor programming scores did not differ significantly on post hoc analysis. Post hoc Bonferroni test analysis shows that there is a significant difference for sensitivity to interference among MSA and PD groups and MSA and PSP groups. Similarly,
inhibitory control scores vary significantly on post hoc Bonferroni analysis of MSA from PD and PSP groups. In environmental autonomy, only PSP scores show a significant variation from MSA.

Table 4 shows $P$ value is significantly different across the experimental and control group for both the fluency tests. Post hoc Bonferroni test analysis shows that there is a significant difference for semantic fluency test among MSA ($14.77 \pm 1.74$) and PD ($12.07 \pm 2.36$) groups and MSA and PSP ($16.28 \pm 0.73$) groups. Similarly, semantic fluency test scores vary significantly for PD scores from MSA and PSP groups. For letter fluency test, post hoc Bonferroni test analysis shows that there is a significant difference among MSA ($13.95 \pm 0.78$) and PD ($10.96 \pm 0.958$) groups and MSA and PSP ($11.84 \pm 2.82$) groups. Similarly, post hoc Bonferroni test analysis for letter fluency test starting from $P$ shows a significant variation for MSA scores from PD and PSP groups.

**DISCUSSION**

The cognitive decline in Parkinson and Parkinson-plus syndrome varies from mild impairment of memory and executive functions to full-blown dementia. Executive dysfunction is a prominent feature in PD and Parkinson-plus syndrome. Reductions in activity of frontostriatal neural circuitry are related to cognitive impairments in these diseases.

Disease duration and severity of cognitive impairment are only partially related, reflecting different rates of disease progression. Some patients develop cognitive impairment and later dementia within few years after onset of PD, whereas others may develop dementia twenty or more years after disease onset. In our study, patients with cognitive impairment were significantly older in Parkinson disease than other two groups as compared to the previous study, where patients with PSP were older than MSA. When compared within subgroups (MSA, PD, and PSP), it was seen disease duration was significantly different within the groups. Presentation of cognitive impairment was earliest ($P = 0.00001$) in PSP ($22 \pm 8.2$ months) and as compared to PD ($25 \pm 8.3$ months) and MSA ($26 \pm 18.1$ months) as shown in Table 1.

The prevalence of PD and Parkinson-plus syndromes is lower in India as compared with Caucasian populations. Only a few studies have been done on cognitive profile of patients with atypical parkinsonism in world as well as India.

The assessment of cognitive function was done using MMSE, FAB score, and verbal fluency tests across three groups. This study showed that mean MMSE scores were worst in PSP ($21.72 \pm 3.70$) followed by PD ($22.5 \pm 2.47$ and MSA $23.95 \pm 2.4$). In a similar study, the MMSE ranges were $17–30$ with PSP, $21–30$ for patients with MSA, and $24–30$ for patients with PD. The poorer scores of MMSE in PSP can be attributed to poor mobility and slowness of movement, occurring in nearly $70\%$ of cases. Our study shows that mean MMSE scores vary significantly for MSA from PSP but not with PD. This goes well in concordance to another study from India which stated MMSE was significantly worse in PSP as compared to PD, however, no significant difference was seen between MSA and PD.

However, MMSE cannot assess well the executive function which is affected most in these patients. Executive functions have been defined as capacities that
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“enable a person to engage successfully in independent, purposive, and self-serving behavior,” and encompass cognitive processes such as initiation, planning, purposive action, self-monitoring, self-regulation, volition, inhibition, and flexibility.[24]

In a previous cross-sectional, multicenter study from the European MSA group, it was seen that general cognitive decline as assessed by MMSE was uncommon (2 out of 61 patients had score <24). In contrast, frontal lobe-related functions (as measured by FAB) were impaired in 41% of patients, with abstract reasoning and sustained attention less compromised in same group.[25] This pattern was similar to control group of twenty patients with PD (matched for disease duration and age at onset).

Our study showed significant difference was found for FAB scores within three experimental groups. The highest scores were obtained for PD and least for PSP. There was a significant difference for FAB scores when MSA group was compared with PSP but not with PD group and PD group varies significantly from PSP. Our results were similar to another study, where 71.8% of the PSP group scored below 15 on FAB score while 62.0% scored below 14. In the MSA-P and C group, the figures were 42.3% and 31.8%, respectively, and on the MMSE, 33.6% of the PSP group scored below 25.[7]

When comparing cognitive profiles of synucleinopathies, it is interesting to note that cognitive impairment is more pronounced in dementia with Lewy bodies and only mild in PD, whereas MSA patients present an intermediate profile similar to our study (MMSE in PSP 21.72 ± 3.70, PD 22.5 ± 2.47, MSA 23.95 ± 2.4).[26]

When comparing MSA and PSP using FAB, MMSE, and Mattis Dementia Rating Scale, the profile observed in MSA and PSP were similar, albeit more severe in patients with PSP.[7]

Another study suggested that the FAB score discriminates executive dysfunction in bradykinetic rigid syndromes.[27] They noticed that FAB scores were significantly lower in PSP than in MSA or PD (P = 0.02 and P < 0.001) and were also found to be significantly lower in MSA than in PD (P = 0.047) similar to our study. While 82% of the PSP group had FAB scores of <15, such scores were recorded in only 36% of the MSA and 8% of the PD groups.[27] Another study showed executive dysfunction is quite prominent in patients with PSP where 16 out of 20 PSP patients scored below 15 on FAB.[28]

Using data from the PRIAMO study where cognitive impairment was defined as a Mini–Mental Status Evaluation score ≤23.8 and FAB score ≤13.48. Total FAB scores and single FAB items were lower in Parkinson’s-plus syndromes versus PD.[29]

Our study showed that all the subtests of FAB test differed significantly except motor programming. There is a significant difference for conceptualization scores between MSA and PSP groups, between PSP and PD, and PSP varies from MSA significantly.

Our study showed that there is a significant difference for sensitivity to interference among MSA and PD groups and MSA and PSP groups. Similarly, inhibitory control scores vary significantly in MSA from PD and PSP groups. In environmental autonomy, only PSP scores show a significant variation from MSA.

In our study, mental flexibility shows that MSA varies significantly from PD and PSP. However, motor programming scores did not differ significantly on post hoc analysis among three experimental groups where as in another study,[27] motor series subscores and lexical fluency of the FAB discriminated 70% of the PSP, MSA, and PD patients. A step-wise regression analysis revealed that across the groups, among the variables that correlated with FAB scores, alternating semantic fluency accounted for 80% of FAB score variance.

In our study, there is a significant different across the experimental and control group for both the fluency tests. Mean semantic fluency scores were significantly low in PD as compared to MSA followed by PSP. Post hoc Bonferroni test analysis shows that there is a significant difference for semantic fluency test among MSA and PD groups and MSA and PSP groups. Similarly, semantic fluency test scores vary significantly for PD scores from MSA and PSP groups. It was noted in another study,[8] no statistically significant difference between MSA and PD (95% CI: −3.08, 1.4; uncorrected P = 0.3, corrected P = 1), whereas the PSP group performed worse than MSA group (95% CI: 1.6, 6.08; corrected and uncorrected P < 0.001) and PD group (95% CI: −6.92,−2.44; corrected and uncorrected P < 0.001).

In the present study, letter fluency test shows that there is a significant difference among MSA and PD groups and MSA and PSP groups. In a study from India, no statistically significant difference in the scores between the MSA and PD groups (95% CI: −1.67, 3.11; corrected P = 1, uncorrected P = 0.5) on the initial letter fluency task, whereas PSP group fared significantly worse than MSA group (95% CI: 2.21, 6.99; corrected and uncorrected P < 0.001) and PD group.[8] Similarly, a large meta-analysis has shown that verbal fluency impairment is more pronounced than that seen in PD nondemented patients, and also, semantic fluency seems to be more compromised than phonemic fluency.[29]
In our study, global and frontal cognitive deficits were worst in PSP than other two groups. Cognitive function in PSP is seen to be affected in up to 60% of cases, with prominent deficits in attention and executive function, with verbal fluency being severely affected, as well as deficits in both verbal and nonverbal memory with a relative preservation of recognition. [7]

In another study, patients with PSP showed greater impairment in both phonemic and semantic fluency than patients with PD or MSA. Over 90% of patients with PSP were correctly classified. Patients with PD and MSA were correctly classified in over 70% of cases. [29] These results suggest that verbal fluency tasks may be sensitive measures in the differential diagnosis of PD, MSA, and PSP.

Limitation of study
1. While the MMSE has been used traditionally to screen for cognitive deficits, it often fails to detect early cognitive decline because of its ceiling effect.
2. The foremost weakness is that major motor disability or communication problems can interfere with performance of the test.
3. Pathological confirmation for the diagnosis was not available in our cases.

Conclusions
Our data suggest that global cognitive impairment and executive dysfunction are worst in PSP among the three groups. Patients with MSA had significant cognitive decline as opposed to previous experience. FAB scores and verbal fluency tests are good tests to assess cognitive impairment in these diseases. Subsets of FAB score have significant differences but cannot help differentiating conclusively between these three diseases. A fuller understanding of cognitive function in PD, MSA, and PSP needs large-scale prospective studies with pathological confirmation of diagnosis.
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