Anatomic variation of the flexor digiti minimi brevis muscle: a morphological and functional study: case report
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Abstract

Introduction: A great amount of accessory and supernumerary muscles, or even their absence, has already been described in medical literature, related to Anatomy, Surgery and Radiology. In the majority of cases, muscles with any morphological changes, in their origins, insertions, number of muscle bellies and anomalous vascular or nervous patterns, are asymptomatic. However, approximately 3% of compression neuropathy cases are results of an anomalous muscle. Material and methods: During a routine dissection, an anatomical variation was found on the left hypothenar region of an afrodescendant adult’s corpse, which led the authors to further investigate its incidence and the clinical significance of this variation, basing up of articles published in refereed journals and renowned books on this subject. Results: Many authors have already identified numerous muscle variations in the hypothenar region, whether in dissection labs or in private clinics. Since some muscular variations may cause numerous clinical symptoms, it’s important to have a detailed anatomical knowledge of the correspondent region. There are cases of ulnar nerve compression caused by an anomalous flexor digiti minimi brevis described in the medical literature. The majority of authors explained the nervous and vascular compression from some factors as injury, small repetitive traumas, genetically predetermined muscle size and muscular hypertrophy. Conclusion: The importance of differential diagnosis to any affections of the hypothenar region lies on the anatomical and surgical knowledge of the structures quoted in this study, the statistics related to the prevalence of these anatomical variations and the relevance of these in the present case.
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1 Introduction

A great amount of accessory and supernumerary muscles, or even their absence, has already been described in medical literature, related to Anatomy (CLEMENTE, 1985; KING and O’RAHILLY, 1950; MORISSON, 1916; SAADEH and BERGMAN, 1988), Surgery and Radiology. In the majority of cases, muscles with any morphological changes, in their origins, insertions, number of muscle bellies and anomalous vascular or nervous patterns, are asymptomatic. However, approximately 3% of compression neuropathy cases are results of an anomalous muscle (SHEA and MCCLAIN, 1969). They are commonly found incidentally, during surgeries or imaging exams. Symptoms may be associated to palpable swelling, or as a result of impairment of neurovascular structures (PAPATHANASIOU, LOIZIDES, PANAYIOTOU et al., 2005), typically in osteofibrous tunnels. In cases which an obvious cause for these symptoms is absent, the recognition and careful evaluation of the anatomical variation improves the diagnosis and treatment of the infirmity (SOOKUR, NARAGHI, BLEAKNEY et al., 2008).

2 Case Report

During a routine dissection at the Anatomy Lab of the University Faculdade de Ciências Médicas de Minas Gerais, an anatomical variation was found on the left hypothenar region of an afro descendant adult’s corpse. The flexor digiti minimi brevis muscle possessed a normal topography, excluding the unusual presence of two bellies, different from each other and well defined, constituting the muscle (Figure 1). The lateral belly presented to be thicker than the medial belly, measuring 85 millimeters of length and 10 millimeters of width, while the medial one had 74 millimeters of length and 9 millimeters of width. Both parts stemmed from the hamulus hamatum and the flexor retinaculum, and inserted medially at the basis of the proximal phalanx of the fifth finger, along with the abductor digiti minimi tendon (Figure 2). Both bellies were innervated by the deep branch of the ulnar nerve, without any other changes in the patterns of neurovascular branching in this region. All of the other hand muscles presented without any anatomical variation. The superficial branch of the ulnar nerve also presented to be normal, without any sign of presence of a compression neuropathy.

As the normal patterns of innervation and vascularization in the hypothenar region were maintained, difficulty it would be possible to occur any symptomatology related to the anatomical variation described in this case, most probably by hypertrophy or trauma to the muscle quoted here.

In this same institution, of a 107 dissected corpses, only the specimen described in this study presented with such anatomical variation.
3 Discussion

Many authors have already identified numerous muscle variations in the hypothenar region, whether in dissection labs or in private clinics (BUCHER, 1943; WAHBA, SINGH and LOZANO OFF, 1998; WINGERTER, GUPTA, LE et al., 2003). The muscle of the hypothenar region most often quoted in anatomical variation descriptions is the abductor digiti minimi, with a prevalence of 24%, possessing quite variable origins (SOOKUR, NARAGHI, BLEAKNEY et al., 2008). Harvie, Patel and Ostlere (2004), held ultrasonography examinations in 116 wrists of asymptomatic volunteers, and identified the presence of an anomalous muscle in 35% of the cases, while 50% of these same cases where bilateral.

Macalister (1875) has already described numerous anatomical variations of the flexor digiti minimi brevis, such as its absence, or evidence of the presence of a palmar accessory belly, the presence of a belly inserting in the metacarpal bone, and its fusion with the abductor digiti minimi muscle. Other authors described its origin from the antebrachial fascia, fusion with the opponens digiti minimi muscle, and the substitution of the flexor digitii minimi brevis by a tendinous sheath, originating from the flexor carpi ulnaris muscle, and inserting in the fifth proximal phalanx and in the hamate bone, just like the presence of an accessory flexor digiti minimi brevis muscle (GEORGIEV and JELEV, 2011; GEORGIEV, JELEV and KINOV, 2010; MADHAVI and HOLLA, 2003).

It’s important to describe the intimate relation of the muscles of the hypothenar region with the adjacent neurovascular structures: the ulnar nerve divides itself in superficial and deep terminal branches. The superficial branch crosses the superficial palmar arch by its medial side and lies on the hypothenar muscles, of which the majority is innervated by the deep terminal branches (BAKINDE, YOTOVSKI, VOIGT et al., 2005). The deep branch passes deeply between the abductor digiti minimi and the flexor digiti minimi brevis muscle, which it innervates. It passes through a fibrous arch in the proximal extremity of the opponens digiti minimi and innervates this muscle. After, this branch surrounds the hamulus hamatum and expands laterally with the deep palmar arch under the protection of a fat pad located underneath the flexor tendons. In this part of its route, the deep branch innervates all the interosseous muscles, the third and fourth lumbricals, the adductor pollicis muscle and, usually, the flexor digiti minimi brevis, in which it penetrates (GARDNER, GRAY and O’RAHILLY, 1967). Since some muscular variations may cause numerous clinical symptoms, it’s important to have a detailed anatomical knowledge of the correspondent region.

There are cases of ulnar nerve compression caused by an anomalous flexor digiti minimi brevis described in the medical literature (SÄLGEBACK, 1977; SPINNER, LINS and SPINNER, 1978; GEORGIEV, JELEV and SURCHEV, 2007). In addition to the compression of neural structures, a muscle with any anatomical variation may be associated to ulnar artery thrombosis in the Guyon’s canal (PRIBYL and MONEIM, 1994). The majority of authors explained the nervous and vascular compression from some factors as injury, small repetitive traumas, genetically predetermined muscle size and muscular hypertrophy (CURRY and KUZ, 2000). Other predisponent factors are the presence of dilated and sinuous vases (JOSE, BRAGG and SRIVASTAVA, 2006) ganglion cysts, schwannomas, abnormally long carpal bones, edema and...
fibrous tissue masses inside the distal ulnar tunnel (MURATA, SHIH and TSAI, 2003). In these cases, ultrasonography and magnetic resonance exams could be useful in the diagnosis of the muscle that has the anatomical variation (ZEISS, JAKAB, KHIMJII et al., 1992; ZEISS and GUILLIAM-HAIDET, 1996; TIMINS, 1999).

4 Conclusion

A big number of anatomical variations similar to the described in this study have already been published in surgical and radiological literature, mostly in case reports. The big majority of anatomical variations in muscles is asymptomatic, and tends to represent accidental discoveries in surgeries and imaging exams. Symptomatic cases may be related to palpable swelling, or even compression neuropathies, especially in Guyon’s canal.

The importance of differential diagnosis to any affections of the hypothenar region lies on the anatomical and surgical knowledge of the structures quoted in this study, the statistics related to the prevalence of these anatomical variations and the relevance of these in the present case.

The detailed anatomy of this study may improve the surgeon’s knowledge and experience in the diagnosis and treatment of the pathologies that may affect the hypothenar region, including trauma, Guyon’s canal compression and benign and malignant tumors of this region.
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