

Severe obstructive sleep apnea treatment with mandibular advancement device: A case report

Maria de Lourdes Rabelo
Guimarães¹
Ana Paula Hermont¹
Thais Moura Guimarães²
Cibele Dal-Fabbro²
Lia Bittencourt²
Cauby Maia Chaves Junior³

¹ Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais,
Faculdade de Odontologia - Belo
Horizonte, MG, Brasil.

² Universidade Federal de São Paulo,
Departamento de Psicobiologia - São
Paulo, SP, Brasil.

³ Universidade Federal do Ceará,
Departamento de Clínica odontológica -
Fortaleza, CE, Brasil.

ABSTRACT

Mandibular advancement device (MAD) has been described as an alternative treatment to the severe obstructive sleep apnea (OSA), once it is not as effective as the continuous positive airway pressure therapy (CPAP) in reducing the apnea and hypopnea index (AHI). The objective of this study is to report a case using a MAD in a CPAP-intolerant patient suffering from severe OSA. Polysomnography exams were performed before and after treatment. Five months after fitting and titrating the MAD, the AHI was reduced from 80.5 events/hour to 14.6 events/hour and the minimum oxyhemoglobin saturation (SpO₂) increased from 46% to 83%. A two-year assessment of therapy revealed an AHI of 8 events/hour and SpO₂ of 85%.

Keywords: Sleep Apnea, Obstructive; Polysomnography; Mandibular Advancement.

Corresponding author: Cauby Maia
Chaves Junior.
E-mail: cmcjr@uol.com.br
E-mail: thaismoura_@hotmail.com
Received: July 18, 2017; Accepted:
March 20, 2018.

DOI: 10.5935/1984-0063.20180022

INTRODUCTION

Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is a respiratory disorder characterized by recurrent episodes of total or partial obstruction of the upper airway during sleep¹. Intermittent hypoxemia, transient hypercapnia, and frequent arousals are also consequences of this disorder¹. The signs and symptoms of OSA are commonly described as excessive sleepiness, cognitive impairment, cardiovascular disease, mood changes and metabolic dysfunction².

Severe OSA has been associated with a greatest risk factor for atherosclerosis, acute myocardial infarction and general mortality, when compared to mild and moderate OSA³⁻⁵. There is also a positive relationship between apnea/hypopnea index (AHI) and the presence of these outcomes³⁻⁵. Therefore, the treatment of severe OSA is very important, even if the complete resolution of AHI was not achieved⁵.

The continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) therapy is the most efficient treatment for OSA and improves patient's subjective symptoms and cardiometabolic alterations^{3,6}. Population studies have observed that CPAP treatment is related to decreased cardiometabolic risk in subjects with severe OSA³. Nevertheless, the CPAP adherence is an important limitation of the treatment. Approximately 46 to 83% of patients do not use CPAP for more than 4 hours a day, which leads to the need of an alternative treatment⁷.

The mandibular advancement device (MAD) is considered an alternative treatment for CPAP⁸. Despite the greater patient compliance to the therapy (76 to 86%)^{9,10} it is not as effective as CPAP in improving the AHI¹¹. Studies have detected that 37% up to 42.6% of patients on MAD therapy achieves a success response rate (the reduction of AHI <5 events/hour)^{9,12,13}. In severe OSA, success with MAD is lower, only 22% to 23% of patients have complete resolution in AHI^{12,13}. However, a study referring mortality in severe OSA population found that CPAP-intolerant individuals treated with MAD died less than non-treat patients⁵. These observations reinforce the importance of treating severe OSA patients even without complete resolution of AHI⁵. The purpose of this study is to report a successful case using a mandibular advancement device (MAD) in a CPAP-intolerant individual with severe OSA.

CASE REPORT

Patient data

A 49-year-old CPAP-intolerant male patient was referred by an otorhinolaryngologist for MAD treatment. In the anamnesis, no orthodontic, orthopedic or surgical intervention was reported in the craniocervical complex. The patient's main complaint was excessive daytime sleepiness, persistent fatigue, frequent and loud snoring and witnessed apneas. He scored 10 points in the Epworth Sleepiness Scale¹⁴ and presented a body mass index (BMI) of 32.9 kg/m². In basal PSG, the patient presented a sleep efficiency of 80.6%, AHI of 80.5 events/h (apnea index = 36.1, hypopnea index = 44.4). The mean of SpO₂ was 93%, the minimum of SpO₂ was 46%, and the percentage

of time below 90% was 32.7%. Regarding the sleep architecture, it presented 4.3% of N3, 7.4% of REM and 64.3/h of arousal index.

Polysomnography

The patient did two full night polysomnography recordings: the baseline recording, and with MAD titrated in situ. A type III home sleep portable monitor, the ApneaLink, was also used to monitor the patient. This device records 4 channels from 3 non-invasive sensors which measure respiratory effort, airflow, pulse rate, and oxygen saturation.

The full night polysomnography (PSG) was performed in a sleep laboratory. Polysomnography included electroencephalography, electromyography, electrocardiogram, oxygen saturation measured by a finger pulse oximeter and electroculogram. The respiratory variables recorded by pressure nasal cannula and thermistor. Respiratory effort was measured using a respiratory inductance plethysmography. Snoring was recorded by a microphone and body position was monitored using a piezoelectric sensor.

Polysomnographic recordings were scored according to the guidelines of the American Academy of Sleep Medicine¹. Obstructive apnea was defined as a = 10-second cessation of air flow on the pressure nasal cannula, associated with an oronasal thermal sensor. Hypopnea was defined as a = 50% reduction in airflow, or a reduction of airflow <50% on the nasal pressure cannula accompanied by a decrease = 3% in oxygen saturation (SpO₂) or an arousal. Central apnea was defined by the absence of respiratory effort throughout the entire period of absent air flow; and mixed apnea was defined by the onset of the respiratory event with no airflow and no respiratory effort during the first half of the event and, at the second half of the event, the absence of airflow persisted even after a resumption of inspiratory effort. The minimum SpO₂ (SpO₂ nadir) was also recorded¹.

Mandibular advancement device

Complete orthodontic documentation was requested, including cephalometric analysis (Table 1). The patient presented satisfactory dental and periodontal conditions and was capable to perform protrusive, latero-protrusive, opening and closing mandibular movements in a coordinated way. The oropharyngeal inspection revealed an elongated soft palate, Mallampati Grade IV and palatine tonsil Grade II.

The treatment was conducted with a MAD (Lateroprotrusive Plate - PLP[®]) (Figure 1). The absolute range of maximal mandibular protrusion was measured (in mm) with the use of the George Gauge (Great Lakes Orthodontics, Ltd., New York, USA). The construction bite was registered at 50% of the maximum mandibular protruded position (patient's maximum protrusion was 9.0 mm) and progressive advances were performed up to 7mm. In this position the MAD was optimally titrated resulting in symptoms resolution and the patient reported no complaints of symptoms in the temporomandibular joints.

Table 1. Cephalometric analysis.

Cephalometric measures	Obtained value	Normal values (mean + SD)
Anterior cranial base	77.55 mm	80.00±2.00 mm
Maxilla length (ENA-ENP)	49.00 mm	55.80±3.00 mm
Mandible length	123.00 mm	125.77 mm
Mandibular ramus length	65.83 mm	53.00 mm
Mandibular body length (Go-Pog)	87.68 mm	82.80±3.60 mm
S-N.A	76.53 °	81.50 °±3.20 °
S-N.B	78.00 °	79.40 °±2.90 °
A-N.B	-1.47 °	2.10 °±1.90 °
S-N.GN	64.44 °	66.00 °±3.20 °
N-A.Pog	-6.53 °	2.80 °±2.20 °
Superior pharyngeal airway space	7.24 mm	11.50±2.00 mm
Lower pharyngeal airway space	6.55 mm	11.00 ±2.00 mm
Soft palate length	46.04 mm	37.00±3.00 mm
Distance of hyoid bone- third vertebrae	49.20 mm	40.00±5.00 mm

**Figure 1.** Mandibular advancement device.

The time interval between fitting the MAD and the monitoring PSG exam with the MAD was 5 months. After fitting and titrating the MAD the patient continued to return to the annual follow-up visits. There were no complaints related to temporomandibular disorders or masticatory muscles. However, there were discrete alterations in dental occlusion, which were carefully managed.

RESULTS

The results of the full night polysomnography are shown in Table 2. There was improvement in AHI, from 80.5 events/h to 14.6 events/h, the SpO₂ nadir increased from 46% to 83% and the SpO₂ <90% decreased from 32.7% to 1.06%.

After fitting and titrating the MAD the patient continued to return to the annual follow-up visits. The patient reported improvement in sleep quality and in his quality of life, presenting more disposition for his daily activities, without daytime sleepiness (the patient scored 8 points in the Epworth Sleepiness Scale after the treatment, the baseline score was 10 points) and with occasional snoring. After 2 years of follow-up, the

patient refused to do the control polysomnography, therefore, the control was performed with the ApneaLink Plus Home Sleep Screening Device (ResMed). The results showed an AHI of 8 events/h and minimum SpO₂ of: 85%. The compliance of MAD was 7h per night. It was measured subjectively through patient reporting at follow-up visits.

During the follow-up, it was necessary to replace the OA once and repair it twice due to fracture of one of the plates. During the first year of treatment the patient presented mild pain in the masticatory musculature and after 4 years using the MAD the patient's overjet and overbite decreased by 1.5 mm. There was no need to discontinue the MAD use or to do orthodontic interventions.

DISCUSSION

The patient presented in the basal PSG an AHI of 80.5 events/h and SpO₂ nadir of 46%. Before initiating the therapy with the MAD, the patient tried CPAP for a few nights, once it is the primary treatment indication for severe sleep apnea. Nevertheless, he abandoned its use; discomfort was the reason for noncompliance.

After titrating the MAD, the results of the control polysomnographic, with the oral appliance in situ showed an objective decrease in the rates of respiratory obstructive events. The full night PSG showed an AHI = 14.6 events/h and SpO₂ = 83%. The control conducted with the ApneaLink portable monitoring system after two years of treatment showed an AHI = 8 events/h and an improvement in the SpO₂ nadir = 85%. There was also an improvement in the proportion of time with SpO₂ <90%, that decreased from 32.87% to 1.06%, and the arousal index decreased from 64.3 events/h to 15.8 events/h.

Patients with severe OSA have an increased cardiovascular risk. Without CPAP adherence, they must be treated with alternative therapies even if they remain with some degree of residual AHI⁵. Some studies suggest that the greater adherence to MAD therapy may compensate the non-complete resolution of the apnea and hypopnea events⁵. A systematic review showed

Table 2. Baseline and after treatment (control) polysomnographic parameters.

Parameters	AHI	AI	HI	X	SpO ₂		Sleep efficiency	N3 (%)	REM (%)	Arousal/h
					Min	% time <90%				
Basal PSG	80.5	36.1	44.4	93%	46%	32.78	80.6	4.3	7.1	64.3
Control PSG	14.6	0.9	13.6	95%	83%	1.06	87.9	6.8	7.4	15.8
2-year follow up (ApneaLink)	8.0	3.0	5.0	96%	85%	1.00	-	-	-	-

PSG=polysomnography. AHI=Apnea and Hypopnea Index. AI=Apnea Index. HI=Hipopnea Index. X=mean oxygen saturation. Min=Minimum saturation. % Time <90%=Percentage of time that saturation remained below 90%. OA=Oral appliance.

that mild OSA may have a minimal impact in the patient's general health¹⁵. Therefore, a possible residual AHI related to the MAD therapy (studies presents a mean post-treatment residual AHI of 5 to 15 events/h) maybe does not have significant impacts on the patient's general health^{5,16,17}.

Despite the significant improvement in AHI and micro-arousal, the patient described in this study did not show significant improvement in the sleep architecture as observed by the N3 and REM stages, which increased from 4.3% to 6.8% and 7.1% to 7.4%, respectively. This result is consistent with the latest review by the American Academy of Sleep Medicine and the American Academy of Dental Sleep Medicine that found no significant changes in sleep architecture with MAD therapy¹¹.

The cephalometric analysis showed some characteristics that could jeopardize success outcomes with MAD such as a decrease in maxillary length (ENA-ENP) and a maxillary retro-position (ANS). The described patient also presented other features that were not favorable to the intraoral appliance treatment such as an elongated uvula, increased soft palate length and a lower displaced hyoid bone which contributed to the narrowing of the airway and the possibility of pharyngeal obstruction during sleep. On the contrary, the measurements of the lower airspace of the pharynx were diminished, which according to a study carried out by Cunha *et al.*¹⁸ is a good predictor of success for MAD therapy.

The main objective of MAD therapy is to reduce or normalize the AHI. There are some predictors that help dentists in the attempt to identify which patients will benefit more from the treatment. However, the predictors are still not fully clinically reliable. In the present case the patient was 49 years old, obese, presented lower mandibular advancement (7mm) and higher AHI. This outcome was not compatible with some strong predictors such as lower AHI, lower age, lower BMI and higher mandible protrusion^{12,13}. This data corroborates the questioning of factors predicting the most suitable individuals for the treatment with MAD and stimulates sleep professionals to conduct the treatment even if the patient presents negative predictors.

Attention should be given to follow-up visits and PSG or home-based monitoring exams for sleep apnea detection¹¹. These tests can provide objective measures related to the long-term effectiveness of the OSA treatment. Although the patient in this report presented excellent compliance (7h/day during 7 days/week) to the MAD treatment and performed regular follow-up visits, the difficulty consisted in convincing him to perform the control PSG exams. The solution found was the

use of home tests (ApneaLink) to minimize the risk of under-treatment. It is also worth mentioning that BMI and information about supine and non-supine AHI were not recorded in both post-treatment control evaluations. As mentioned before, these are important data that might be related to predictors of treatment success.

The case reported in this article showed an improvement in the patient's health, who initially presented a severe OSA (AHI: 80.5 events/h) and after the successful MAD therapy, the AHI decreased to 14.6 events/h), demonstrating a good response rate.

It is worth noticing that a multidisciplinary approach including phonaudiology treatment can tonify pharyngeal musculature and reduce the possibility of pain complaints related to the masticatory muscles during the mandibular advancement. Furthermore, behavioral changes such as weight loss could corroborate to a decrease in the patients' AHI.

CONCLUSION

The mandibular advancement device improved the polysomnographic parameters in a case of severe OSA and these effects were maintained during the 2-year follow-up.

Acknowledgements: Associação Fundo de Incentivo a Pesquisa (AFIP) and CAPES.

REFERENCES

1. Sleep-related breathing disorders in adults: recommendations for syndrome definition and measurement techniques in clinical research. The report of an American Academy of Sleep Medicine Task Force. *Sleep*. 1999;22(5):667-89.
2. Somers VK, White DP, Amin R, Abraham WT, Costa F, Culebras A, et al.; American Heart Association Council for High Blood Pressure Research Professional Education Committee, Council on Clinical Cardiology; American Heart Association Stroke Council; American Heart Association Council on Cardiovascular Nursing; American College of Cardiology Foundation. Sleep apnea and cardiovascular disease: an American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology Foundation Scientific Statement from the American Heart Association Council for High Blood Pressure Research Professional Education Committee, Council on Clinical Cardiology, Stroke Council, and Council On Cardiovascular Nursing. In collaboration with the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute National Center on Sleep Disorders Research (National Institutes of Health). *Circulation*. 2008;118(10):1080-111.
3. Marin JM, Carrizo SJ, Vicente E, Agusti AG. Long-term cardiovascular outcomes in men with obstructive sleep apnoea-hypopnoea with or without treatment with continuous positive airway pressure: an observational study. *Lancet*. 2005;365(9464):1046-53.
4. Yaggi HK, Concato J, Kernan WN, Lichtman JH, Brass LM, Mohsenin V. Obstructive sleep apnea as a risk factor for stroke and death. *N Engl J Med*. 2005;353(19):2034-41.
5. Anandam A, Patil M, Akinnusi M, Jaoude P, El-Solh AA. Cardiovascular mortality in obstructive sleep apnoea treated with continuous positive airway pressure or oral appliance: an observational study. *Respirology*. 2013;18(8):1184-90.

6. Kushida CA, Littner MR, Hirshkowitz M, Morgenthaler TI, Alessi CA, Bailey D, et al.; American Academy of Sleep Medicine. Practice parameters for the use of continuous and bilevel positive airway pressure devices to treat adult patients with sleep-related breathing disorders. *Sleep*. 2006;29(3):375-80.
7. Weaver TE, Grunstein RR. Adherence to continuous positive airway pressure therapy: the challenge to effective treatment. *Proc Am Thorac Soc*. 2008;5(2):173-8.
8. Kushida CA, Morgenthaler TI, Littner MR, Alessi CA, Bailey D, Coleman J Jr, et al.; American Academy of Sleep. Practice parameters for the treatment of snoring and Obstructive Sleep Apnea with oral appliances: an update for 2005. *Sleep*. 2006;29(2):240-3.
9. Bachour P, Bachour A, Kauppi P, Maasilta P, Mäkitie A, Palotie T. Oral appliance in sleep apnea treatment: respiratory and clinical effects and long-term adherence. *Sleep Breath*. 2016;20(2):805-12.
10. Ferguson KA, Cartwright R, Rogers R, Schmidt-Nowara W. Oral appliances for snoring and obstructive sleep apnea: a review. *Sleep*. 2006;29(2):244-62.
11. Ramar K, Dort LC, Katz SG, Lettieri CJ, Harrod CG, Thomas SM, et al. Clinical Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Obstructive Sleep Apnea and Snoring with Oral Appliance Therapy: An Update for 2015. *J Clin Sleep Med*. 2015;11(7):773-827.
12. Sutherland K, Takaya H, Qian J, Petocz P, Ng AT, Cistulli PA. Oral Appliance Treatment Response and Polysomnographic Phenotypes of Obstructive Sleep Apnea. *J Clin Sleep Med*. 2015;11(8):861-8.
13. Dieltjens M, Braem MJ, Vroegop AVMT, Wouters K, Verbraecken JA, De Backer WA, et al. Objectively measured vs self-reported compliance during oral appliance therapy for sleep-disordered breathing. *Chest*. 2013;144(5):1495-502.
14. Bertolazi AN, Fagundes SC, Hoff LS, Pedro VD, Menna Barreto SS, Johns MW. Portuguese-language version of the Epworth sleepiness scale: validation for use in Brazil. *J Bras Pneumol*. 2009;35(9):877-83.
15. Chowdhuri S, Quan SF, Almeida F, Ayappa I, Batool-Anwar S, Budhiraja R, et al.; ATS Ad Hoc Committee on Mild Obstructive Sleep Apnea. An Official American Thoracic Society Research Statement: Impact of Mild Obstructive Sleep Apnea in Adults. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2016;193(9):e37-54.
16. Phillips CL, Grunstein RR, Darendeliler MA, Mihailidou AS, Srinivasan VK, Yee BJ, et al. Health outcomes of continuous positive airway pressure versus oral appliance treatment for obstructive sleep apnea: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2013;187(8):879-87.
17. Trzepizur W, Gagnadoux F, Abraham P, Rousseau P, Meslier N, Saumet JL, et al. Microvascular endothelial function in obstructive sleep apnea: Impact of continuous positive airway pressure and mandibular advancement. *Sleep Med*. 2009;10(7):746-52.
18. Cunha TCA, Guimarães TM, Schultz TCB, Almeida FR, Cunha TM, Simamoto PC Junior, et al. Predictors of success for mandibular repositioning appliance in obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Braz Oral Res*. 2017;31:e37.