LETTERS

Rates of Readmission after Inpatient Plastic Surgery May Not Tell the Whole Story

Kieran Walsh

BMJ Learning, London, UK

Correspondence: Kieran Walsh

BMJ Learning, BMA House, Tavistock Square, London WC1H 9JR, UK Tel: +447985755333, Fax: +442073836242, E-mail: kmwalsh@bmj.com

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

Received: 1 Apr 2014 • Revised: 17 Apr 2014 • Accepted: 17 Apr 2014 pISSN: 2234-6163 • eISSN: 2234-6171

http://dx.doi.org/10.5999/aps.2014.41.6.773A • Arch Plast Surg 2014;41:773

Copyright © 2014 The Korean Society of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgeons
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Dear Editor,

Jain et al. [1] have offered a fascinating insight into rates of readmission after inpatient plastic surgery and predictors of such readmissions. The facts as they present them are inarguable, and clearly present opportunities for both clinical quality improvement and cost savings. However the story that they tell might not be the whole story–from a quality improvement perspective or a cost one.

Firstly the paper looks at readmission rates-but not all complications will result in a readmission. These complications that do not result in readmission will still by definition cause morbidity and are likely to be associated with some costs. Some of these complications might be as serious as those that result in readmission—not least because it is not always the seriousness of the illness itself that precipitates admission. In an elderly and vulnerable patient who lives alone, a minor complication might result in a readmission—however the opposite might be the case in a younger and more robust patient. The complications that result in readmission are quite simply not all the complications or even necessarily the most serious complications.

Secondly even though the analysis clearly demonstrates the factors that predict readmission that does not mean that anything can be done about these factors. Some of them (such as having a history of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease) certainly are not modifiable factors. For all the factors, there is undoubtedly a prima facie case to be made that targeted interventions to prevent complications might prevent readmissions. However this prim facie case is far from strong evidence that these targeted interventions will prevent complications and readmissions. In any case targeted interventions are

likely to be associated with at least some costs and the costs might actually outweigh the costs of readmissions.

Even though Jain et al. have come up with some interesting findings we should be cautious before reading too much into them or radically changing current practice in light of them.

Yours Sincerely, Dr Kieran Walsh

Reference

1. Jain U, Salgado C, Mioton L, et al. Predictors of readmission after inpatient plastic surgery. Arch Plast Surg 2014;41:116-21.

Correction of a Misjudgment of Reference in *Grabb and Smith's Plastic Surgery* Seventh Edition

Ersin Aksam, Mustafa Erol Demirseren

Department of Plastic Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery, Ataturk Training and Research Hospital, Ankara, Turkey

Correspondence: Ersin Aksam

Department of Plastic Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgery, Ataturk Training and Research Hospital, Bilkent 1 Camlik Sit, Kugu Cikmazi, B23-1 No: 98 Bilkent 06800, Ankara, Turkey
Tel: +90-533-6604580, Fax: +90-312-2912705. F-mail: ersinaksam@gmail.com

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported

Received: 19 Mar 2014 • Revised: 20 Mar 2014 • Accepted: 20 Mar 2014 plSSN: 2234-6163 • elSSN: 2234-6171

. http://dx.doi.org/10.5999/aps.2014.41.6.773B • Arch Plast Surg 2014;41:773-774

Copyright © 2014 The Korean Society of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgeons
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

To the Editor,

We have carefully read the 7th edition of Grabb and Smith's widely used textbook, *Plastic Surgery* [1]. We would like to thank the editors for their noble effort. It is an important textbook that contains all of the basic principles of plastic and reconstructive surgery. While reading through the book, we noticed a misjudgment of a reference on page 994, in the section on Sacral Defects. The text is as follows "Some groups have published that their first choice for reconstruction of ischial and sacral pressure sores is free tissue transfer with microvascular anastomosis to the gluteal vessels" with a citation of "Free