

## Erratum

pISSN: 2234-6163 • eISSN: 2234-6171  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.5999/aps.2016.43.1.128> • Arch Plast Surg 2016;43:128-129



# Scar Revision Surgery: The Patient's Perspective

Benjamin H Miranda, Anna Y Allan, Daniel P Butler, Paul D Cussons

*Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Royal Free London NHS Foundation Trust, Royal Free Hospital, London, UK*

Arch Plast Surg 2015;42:729-34. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5999/aps.2015.42.6.729>

This erratum is being published to correct the printing errors on page 730, 732, and 733.

### 1. In page 730, 16th line of the 'RESULTS'; the value (24.45%) should have been (24.64%).

#### Before correction

When stratified by sex, females (85.52%) were more likely than males (75.36%) to report better satisfaction outcomes, hence males (24.45%) were more likely to report worse or no change in outcome vs. females (14.48%) ( $P < 0.05$ ) (Table 2).

#### After correction

When stratified by sex, females (85.52%) were more likely than males (75.36%) to report better satisfaction outcomes, hence males (**24.64%**) were more likely to report worse or no change in outcome vs. females (14.48%) ( $P < 0.05$ ) (Table 2).

### 2. In page 732, the last line of right column, (Table 2) should have been '(Table 3)':

#### Before correction


Pathogenesis sub-analysis by sex indicated that females (83.96%) who sustained scars in the elective setting were more likely to report better outcomes than males (63.83%) ( $P < 0.01$ ), hence males (36.17%) were more likely to report no change or worse outcomes versus females (16.04%) ( $P < 0.01$ ) (Table 2).

#### After correction


Pathogenesis sub-analysis by sex indicated that females (83.96%) who sustained scars in the elective setting were more likely to report better outcomes than males (63.83%) ( $P < 0.01$ ), hence males (36.17%) were more likely to report no change or worse outcomes versus females (16.04%) ( $P < 0.01$ ) (**Table 3**).

3. In page 732, the last (bottom right) graph of (Fig. 3) representing ‘Overall’ should have been as following one. The overall worse scar revision outcomes in elective setting should indicate 2.61% as described in the figure legend.

Before correction



After correction



4. In page 733, 3rd line of the left column, (Table 2) should have been ‘(Table 3):’

Before correction

Furthermore, in the elective setting males (29.79%) were more likely than females (15.09%) to report no change in outcome (P = 0.03) (Table 2).

After correction

Furthermore, in the elective setting males (29.79%) were more likely than females (15.09%) to report no change in outcome (P = 0.03) (Table 3).

5. In page 733, 4th line of the left column, (Table 2) should have been ‘(Table 3):’

Before correction

No inter-sex differences were demonstrated in the traumatic setting (Table 2).

After correction

No inter-sex differences were demonstrated in the traumatic setting (Table 3).