INTRODUCTION

Vascular access for hemodialysis is important enough to be considered a patient’s lifeline. It is essential to ensure and maintain vascular access for hemodialysis patients to receive adequate dialysis. If the vascular access is inadequate, patients may experience a variety of negative consequences due to a lack of dialysis. Serious complications such as thrombosis, limb swelling, ischemic steal syndrome, neuropathy, infection, cardiovascular disease, and the formation of aneurysms or pseudoaneurysms that affect the patient’s lifespan can occur [1]. Vascular complications such as obstruction, infection, stenosis, and aneurysms are economically and psychologically burdensome as they require hospitalization or surgery.

Pseudoaneurysm, also known as a false aneurysm, is a collection of blood that forms between the two outer layers of an artery, typically having a narrow neck and fibrous capsule, and is a complication of hemodialysis arteriovenous graft (AVG) or arteriovenous fistula (AVF) associated with an increased risk of thrombosis, bleeding, infection, pain, and hemodialysis failure.
A pseudoaneurysm usually occurs from repeated trauma that causes blood to leak into surrounding tissues. As the hematoma resolves, scars and fibrous capsules form externally. Improper use of an AVF, such as frequent cannulation and external mechanical pressure at the same site, can lead to aneurysms [1]. Surgical interventions for pseudoaneurysms include ligation, end-to-end AVF, excision and repair, endovascular procedures, and embolization. However, no “gold standard” exists for the management of pseudoaneurysms [2-6]. We present our experiences with the surgical management of pseudoaneurysms and report the efficacy of a dual-plane approach using a Dufourmentel skin flap with a purse-string suture for vessel wall reinforcement.

METHODS

Study design
The study design was a single-center, retrospective chart review, focusing on the efficacy and complications of the dual-plane approach for pseudoaneurysms. The study was approved by Institutional Review Board of Soonchunhyang University Hospital (IRB No. 2020-11-008-001). Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria
We included patients who underwent treatment at our institution from December 2013 to December 2018 with the dual-plane approach for the treatment of pseudoaneurysms at the hemodialysis access site. The exclusion criteria were patients with (1) multiple pseudoaneurysms; (2) an infected pseudoaneurysm, or (3) a pseudoaneurysm larger than 2 cm.

A retrospective analysis was performed of cases of pseudoaneurysm treated with a dual-plane approach and data were obtained from the vascular database and medical records. Data included patient demographics, clinical characteristics, postoperative complications within 6 months, and the follow-up period. Pseudoaneurysm was clinically diagnosed before surgery according to the location and site of abnormally localized vascular dilation. Percutaneous transluminal angioplasty for the treatment of eight patients with downstream stenosis was performed at least 2 weeks prior to surgery. Hemodialysis was performed by puncturing another site of the access during the healing period of the flap. Postoperative ultrasonography was performed 1 month postoperatively to evaluate the vascular access site before the start of hemodialysis. Surgical success was defined as wound healing and intact vascular access patency without complications.

Surgical technique
All patients received local anesthesia using 2% lidocaine mixed with 1:100,000 epinephrine. A 1.5-cm incision on proximal portion of the vascular access was properly made as far away from the needling site as possible and a vascular clamp was placed. The preoperative design of the Dufourmentel flap was essential for a successful outcome. First, we designed a rhombus at the site of the pseudoaneurysm for excision. The long diagonal axis of the rhombus was designed parallel to the vein/graft direction and we designed a larger rhomboid than the pseudoaneurysm area. Next, we designed a Dufourmentel flap from the adjacent normal skin, which did not interfere with hemodialysis access, to cover the excision site (Fig. 1). Full-thickness skin, including sufficient subcutaneous tissue, was raised and rotated to cover the defect without tension. To prevent excessive bleeding, a vascular clamp was applied via separate incisions proximal to the pseudoaneurysm. De-epithelization was performed carefully to avoid damaging the underlying pseudoaneurysm vessel wall (Fig. 2). We found that the top area of the pseudoaneurysm capsule was thin, unhealthy, and pliable, but the bottom areas of the pseudoaneurysm capsule were relatively thick and healthy (Fig. 3). Thus, a purse-string suture using a nonabsorbable

Fig. 1. Preoperative design of a Dufourmentel skin flap. A pseudoaneurysm with a skin-thinning lesion is shown on an arteriovenous fistula. (A) Preoperative photograph. (B) Schematic of the Dufourmentel skin flap.
monofilament suture (5-0 Prolene) was performed on a healthy pseudoaneurysm capsule with de-epithelized dermis covering the capsule, and the unhealthy lesion was trimmed (Fig. 4). Through this procedure, we could reduce the size of the pseudoaneurysm and properly reinforce the pseudoaneurysm wall. Finally, we rotated the Dufourmentel flap to cover the excised area and sutured with monofilament interrupted sutures. Primary closure of the donor site was done, and the vascular clamp was released (Fig. 5). Because the skin problems were treated through a Dufourmentel skin flap, and vessel problems were treated through a purse-string suture of the pseudoaneurysm vessel wall, we termed this technique the “dual-plane approach.”

RESULTS
A total of 71 patients who underwent the dual-plane approach
for the treatment of a pseudoaneurysm at the hemodialysis access site were identified. Ten patients were excluded, and the 61 patients included in this study comprised 21 men and 40 women (mean age, 64 years). The median duration from the initiation of hemodialysis to surgery was 68.4 months (range, 3–324 months).

The average operation time was 49.4 minutes and the mean pseudoaneurysm diameter was 1.37 cm. The median follow-up period was 13.1 months (Table 1).

Complications that occurred within 6 months postoperatively included pseudoaneurysm recurrence (n = 2), wound dehiscence (n = 1), and bleeding (n = 1), as shown in Table 2. Cases of pseudoaneurysm recurrence were treated by reoperation with the same method. Wound dehiscence and bleeding were controlled by conservative treatment without secondary procedures. All complications occurred in cases with pseudoaneurysm as well as another preoperative lesion, such as skin erosion or ulceration (n = 4). The success rate at 6 months postoperatively was 93.4%.

**DISCUSSION**

In Korea, the number of hemodialysis patients, especially elderly patients with diabetes, is increasing rapidly. At the end of 2014, the number of patients with end-stage renal disease in Korea was 86,674, of whom 57,256 were hemodialysis patients [7]. As the number of dialysis patients increases, so do the societal costs. Approximately 16% to 25% of total annual hospitalizations for hemodialysis patients are associated with complications of vascular access [8].

Pseudoaneurysm is a complication that occurs in 2% to 10% of AVGs and in a smaller proportion of AVFs [9]. Pseudoaneurysms of prosthetic grafts mainly arise from repeated cannulation in the same area, and blood leakage occurs, forming a kind of capsule under the support of surrounding tissues. Skin tension increases due to the expansion of the underlying pseudoaneurysm as the skin and subcutaneous tissue are damaged by repeated cannulation. This causes the skin to become thinner and eroded, resulting in more necrosis and exposure of the graft or fistula, which is at greater risk of rupture and fatal bleeding. In our study, all pseudoaneurysm patients had thinned skin at the lesion. Similar studies published by Georgiadis et al. [10] and Al-Thani et al. [1] also reported thinning and erosion of the skin in most cases of aneurysmal AVFs.

The management of pseudoaneurysm, which complicates AVFs and AVGs, can be divided into surgical approaches and endovascular interventions. Endovascular interventions through ultrasound-guided stent grafts, embolization, or thrombin injection are minimally invasive alternatives, but can only be used in stable pseudoaneurysms. Shah et al. [9] reported that the endovascular stent graft repair of pseudoaneurysms allows immediate use of the vascular access site as well as the identification and treatment of associated stenosis. However, they also reported that the principal limitation of stent graft repair for pseudoaneurysm with skin erosion was a high rate of failure due to infection (25%). They considered a bacterial colony in the pseudoaneurysm cavity at the time of treatment to be the cause of failure. Percutaneous thrombin injection has proven to be a safe and effective treatment for pseudoaneurysms. Clark and Abraham [11] successfully treated two cases of brachial artery pseudoaneurysm at the AVF site with thrombin injections. However, vascular access patency is not preserved with this method, and complications of thrombin escaping into the native circulatory system can lead to distal embolism.

Meanwhile, unstable pseudoaneurysms with infection and rapidly expanding, large skin ulcerations or perforations require surgical approaches including ligation, resection, resection, end-to-end anastomosis, resection, or partial aneurysmectomy. Karabay et al. [5] performed aneurysmectomy and revascularization of the artery in 18 patients with giant aneurysms that occurred in the antecubital AVF and reported technical success in all patients. Ozcan et al. [12] demonstrated successful aneurysm resection and plication in all 16 true aneurysmal patients. Hossny [13] performed partial aneurysm resection for the salvage of autogenous AVFs with complicated venous aneurysms. This method differs from conventional methods that generally require prosthetic interposition after ligation or resection and provides the ability to conserve the benefits of autogenous access while preserving the site of future dialysis. In a systematic review of AVF aneurysmal formation, including 12 studies and 23 case reports, aneurysmectomy was the most preferred treatment option, followed by vein graft interposition, both of which were

**Table 1. Operative data of the pseudoaneurysms**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean (range)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operation time (min)</td>
<td>49.40 (34–70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of pseudoaneurysm (cm)</td>
<td>1.37 (1–2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up period (mon)</td>
<td>13.10 (6–30)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Postoperative complications of hemodialysis patients with pseudoaneurysms**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>AVF</th>
<th>AVG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complications (within 6 months)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound dehiscence</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleeding</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudoaneurysm recurrence</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AVF: arteriovenous fistula; AVG, arteriovenous graft.
preferred over bypassing surgery [14].

For a relatively stable, single, small pseudoaneurysm (<2 cm in diameter) and skin lesions such as erosion, necrosis, bleeding, and without infection or rapid expansion, we thought that the surgical method could be a good option. However, if aggressive methods such as excision or an interposition graft are performed first, the number of options to choose in the future will be reduced in the future when other serious vascular problems arise. In addition, it is difficult to perform endovascular interventions immediately due to skin lesions. In these cases, we hypothesized that minimally invasive surgery with a Dufourmentel skin flap and partial pseudoaneurysm resection using a purse-string suture could simultaneously resolve the pseudoaneurysm with the associated skin lesions and salvage the vascular access. Percutaneous transluminal angioplasty was generally performed at least 2 weeks prior to surgery in the presence of a venous downstream stenosis.

Jaffers and Fasola [15] reported their experiences with AVFs with ulceration/bleeding and demonstrated that minimal skin mobilization or flap advancement was successful after ulcer excision and vessel repair or a vein interposition graft. This was similar to our study in that the skin and vessel problems were solved at the same time. A pseudoaneurysm is thought to be not only a skin problem, but also injury and weakening of the vessel wall. When only the skin lesion is treated, the remaining pseudoaneurysm expands and compresses the overlying skin, causing new skin lesions such as erosion, necrosis, and recurrence. Jaffers and Fasola proposed mobilizing an aneurysm by excising an ellipse of the overlying skin along the axis of the fistula so that the proximal and distal blood vessels could be controlled. The skin suture was made directly over the pseudoaneurysm and vascular access. In this case, as the skin tension increased by flap advancement and a skin suture was placed directly above the pseudoaneurysm, there were concerns about wound healing. We used the Dufourmentel flap to rotate the healthy skin flap in the adjacent area and avoid the skin suture just above the pseudoaneurysm area to minimize the skin tension. A purse-string suture was used to reinforce the vessel wall. It is important to choose the proper location of a purse-string suture. The pseudoaneurysm walls were divided into healthy and unhealthy areas according to their location, and based on that classification, an appropriate suture location was selected. During surgery, we found that the healthy capsule wall adjacent to the graft was thicker and strong enough to endure the tension, while the unhealthy capsule wall adjacent to the skin was thinner and more pliable (Fig. 3). Therefore, the healthy vessel wall was sutured, and the unhealthy area was removed. As a result, the vessel wall could only be reconstructed in the healthy area and the pseudoaneurysm size was reduced.

We operated on five cases of pseudoaneurysms with infected skin lesions. Three complications occurred, including recurrence, persistent infection, and wound dehiscence. In these cases, we suggest that the infection was not limited to the skin lesions, but also involved the underlying pseudoaneurysm. The infected pseudoaneurysm vessel walls were thin and not strong enough to endure the tension of the purse-string suture, resulting in a high rate of complications (60%). Furthermore, considering the size of the skin flap, which should be larger than the pseudoaneurysm, and the extent of tension that the capsule can withstand, about a 2-cm pseudoaneurysm is actually the maximal indication for this procedure. Therefore, we consider pseudoaneurysms with infections as a relative contraindication and these pseudoaneurysms were excluded from this study. In cases that do not satisfy the indications, we could consider an additional procedure, such as an interposition graft or end-to-end anastomosis.

In conclusion, the dual-plane approach using a Dufourmentel skin flap and purse-string suture for pseudoaneurysm vessel repair may be a reliable option for treating single small-sized pseudoaneurysms and skin lesions without infections or rapid expansion. The dual-plane approach is simple, requires a short operative time, can salvage the existing vascular access by avoiding aggressive surgical methods, and enables skin lesion excision and pseudoaneurysm vessel repair to be performed simultaneously with a high success rate.
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