Prevalence of noise-induced hearing loss in drivers
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SUMMARY

Introduction: Work-related hearing loss is one of the most common occupational illness progresses over the years of noise exposure associated with the work environment, may cause damage to undertake physical activity, the individual’s physiological and mental besides causing hearing damage irreversible interfering with communication and quality of life. With high prevalence of male evaluates which is the second leading cause of hearing loss. Since there is no medical treatment for this type of hearing loss, it is evident the importance of preventive and conferences aimed at preserving hearing and health as a whole.

Objective: To assess the prevalence of hearing loss in audiometry admission of drivers.

Methods: Retrospective study. By 76 charts of professional drivers in leased transport companies. We analyzed data from specific interview and pure tone audiometry.

Results: The prevalence of abnormal tests was 22.36% with the lowest thresholds for tritonal average of 3,000, 4,000 and 6,000 Hz. The higher the age, the higher thresholds.

Conclusion: This study has highlighted the occurrence of hearing in the absence of complaints. Considering that PAIR is preventable, justifies the importance of coordinated and multidisciplinary involving not only health teams and safety, but also the institutions involved in preserving the health of workers, as the team SESMET, unions or prosecutors.
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A survey of the literature to ascertain the existence of similar work undertaken with the approach proposed in this study. To this end the research was performed in the Cochrane Portal Virtual Health Library (VHL), Medline, Lilacs and SciELO, using the following keywords: hearing loss, driver / hearing loss, and drivers. The study period was from 1997 to 2009. This search identified 37 articles. Excluding repeated studies and those not related directly or indirectly to the subject of this project were obtained from a total of 9 studies listed in Table 1.

The studies related to hearing loss in drivers, as well as the prevalence of PA and main symptoms are presented in Table 2.

 Whereas the effects of work-related Hearing Loss (PAIR, acoustic trauma and hearing loss caused by exposure to chemicals) may impair the quality of life since they affect work and social relations with the disease is evident importance of preventive and collective aimed at preserving hearing and overall health.

Thus, this study has the main objective to assess the prevalence of hearing loss in audiometry admission of drivers. These data that will be used to implement educational measures and/or preventive in this population.

**Method**

This is a retrospective study, which examined the medical records of 76 audiometries admission of leased drivers in transport companies after having received the approval of the Research Ethics Committee, as Case No. 147/2009. We excluded records of drivers who had hearing loss with undetermined etiology, aged 55 years or who were deemed unfit for the role. Interview data were observed specific and pure tone audiometry, performed by the audiometer Interacoustics Midimate 622 model. Audiometry was performed in a soundproof booth and auditory rest of 14 hours. Previously audiometry was performed visual inspection of the external auditory canal to verify the possibility for the realization of pure tone audiometry.

**Statistical method**

The values observed in the studied variables were stored in Microsoft Excel. We used descriptive statistics by mean, median, minimum and maximum values. To analyze the comparison between right ear and left ear test was used t-test. We used the Pearson correlation coefficient to assess the correlation between the age of the individuals surveyed and hearing thresholds. To compare the three age groups was used to an analysis of variance and Tukey test. In all statistical tests we adopted a significance level of 5% (p <0.05).

**Results**

Regarding the characterization of the study population, this study was composed only by male workers, ranging from age 19 to 54 years, with 63.16% of the sample had between 19 and 35 years, 21.05% were aged between 36 to 45 years and 15.79% aged 46-54 years.

In the interview specifies, it can be seen that the presence of tinnitus were reported by one subject (1.32%). No other symptoms were identified impaired or non-occupational information.

The prevalence of altered audiometry was 22.36%. While investigating, through Friedaman test if there was any more often affected, there were no significant results.

Chart 1 shows the mean thresholds for all frequencies studied, considering the average tritonal (500Hz, 1kHz and 2kHz) and (3 kHz, 4 kHz and 6 kHz) for both ears through the paired t test, in which the frequencies of 500Hz, 1kHz, 2kHz and 3kHz average of tritonal, 4kHz and 6kHz both ears studied showed statistically significant result. In the right and left ears, the average tritonal 500Hz, 1kHz and 2kHz, obtained was 11.67 dB, while the average tritonal 3kHz, 4kHz and 6kHz for the right ear was 10.83 dB while the left ear was 13 33 dB. Thus, there are increased thresholds for high frequencies.

Regarding the age groups studied, the highest prevalence was obtained from changes observed in the older age groups. Table 1, using the Test of Pearson Correlation Coefficient presents data averages tritonal correlation with the age groups.

To compare the three age groups was used to an analysis of variance and Tukey test when they were...
### Picture 2. Studies related hearing loss in drivers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors/Year</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Casuistry</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Prevalence of DA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cordeiro, Lima-Filho et al.</td>
<td>Associated between induced hearing loss by noise and cumulative time of work</td>
<td>278 drivers: 147 drivers, 131 collectors</td>
<td>Without information</td>
<td>Without information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth / 1994</td>
<td></td>
<td>collective of the city of Campinas - SP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martins e cols / 2001</td>
<td>Investigate the hearing</td>
<td>174 participants: 140 bus drivers, 34 collectors</td>
<td>18 to 60 years old</td>
<td>37% group of drivers (34%) group of collectors (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrêa Filho et al. / 2002</td>
<td>To estimate the prevalence hearing loss of and noise-induced hypertension in urban bus drivers</td>
<td>108 drivers of Campinas - SP</td>
<td>Average of 38.64 years</td>
<td>32.7% of the total examined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cepinho, Corrêa, Bernardi / 2003</td>
<td>Investigate hearing</td>
<td>111 drivers from G1 bus, 57 G1 truck drivers</td>
<td>35 to 43 years old</td>
<td>4.5% G1 and 11.5% GII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freitas e Nakamura / 2004</td>
<td>To study the incidence of induced hearing loss by noise in drivers from bus with the engine checking the front audiological profile of this population</td>
<td>104 drivers from two companies mass transport from Campinas - SP</td>
<td>21 to 63 years old, with average from 37.5 years</td>
<td>19% of audiometries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silva e Mendes / 2005</td>
<td>Quantify exposure of bus drivers the body vibration - whole and noise, and analyze the possible association between These two factors of risk for PAIR</td>
<td>141 drivers of Bus - group exposed and control group, being 74 (52.5%) of group with a driver in the company not exceeding three years 67 (47.5%) with five years or more</td>
<td>Without information</td>
<td>46% in the group considered as above and 24% no defined as unexposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siviero et al / 2005</td>
<td>To study the prevalence hearing loss audiometric characteristics on drivers City Bus Maringá - Paraná</td>
<td>50 drivers from the bus, with time of exposure to noise over five years</td>
<td>Without information</td>
<td>28% of audiograms suggesting PAINSPE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silva, Gomes, Zaher / 2006</td>
<td>Audiological profile from ambulance drivers</td>
<td>28 drivers</td>
<td>Until 63 years</td>
<td>50% presented PAIR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lopes, Russo, Fiorini / 2007</td>
<td>Studying the hearing and its relationship to life quality in drivers of trucks</td>
<td>75 drivers Truck with time</td>
<td>27 to 61 years profession varying 5 a 40 years</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janghorbani, Sheikhi, Pourabdian / 2009</td>
<td>Estimating the prevalence and the factors risks</td>
<td>Random sample of 4300 drivers that drives over long distances</td>
<td>Greater than or equal to 20 years</td>
<td>Prvalence of bilateral PAIR was of 18.1%, bigger in right ear 6.5% do than the left ear</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
created three groups with Group I consists of workers aged 19-35 years, group II of 36 to 45 years and group III 46-54 years. Table 2 shows the mean and standard deviation of hearing thresholds in these age groups.

From the results presented in Table 2 it was noted that the frequencies of 3 kHz, and the average tritonal 3kHz, 4kHz and 6kHz in the right ear and left ear 3kHz significant difference between the age group 19-35 years and 46 to 54 years, so those frequencies in the lower age group had better hearing thresholds than the group aged 46-54 years. The frequency of 6 kHz in the right ear with the group aged 36 to 45 showed better thresholds statistically significant compared to groups aged between 46 and 54 years.

**DISCUSSION**

The health care worker is a will that intertwines workers and researchers creating a scenario interdisciplinary and multidisciplinary, as it is discussed by various knowledge areas such as workplace safety professionals, physicians, psychologists, nurses, speech therapists, as well as management teams.

Traffic noise is a major cause of noise pollution, especially in large centers. Several studies have described the compromises health in drivers, since the performance of professional drivers is of great responsibility, are responsible for the care and safety of the vehicle, lives under its responsibility, valuable and toxic loads, defective signaling pathways in addition to their own health care, these added responsibilities, this profession is characterized by daily challenges that the driver is forced to confront in their working day. Besides these aspects, excessive noise, heat it gives off from inside the cab, the conformation of the chair, not always anatomically correct, and remaining in the same position in front of the steering wheel, these professionals require intense physical and mental activity.

In this study, the age of the study population ranged from 19 to 54 years, with 63.16% aged 19 to 35 years, as well as other studies show consisting of young workers (9, 10, 11, 12, 13). Another fact is the prevalence

---

**Table 1.** Correlation between age groups and the average tritonal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>500Hz, 1kHz e 2kHz OD</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>0.021*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500Hz, 1kHz e 2kHz OE</td>
<td>0.1945</td>
<td>0.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3kHz, 4kHz e 6kHz OD</td>
<td>0.2459</td>
<td>0.032*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3kHz, 4kHz e 6kHz OE</td>
<td>0.3026</td>
<td>0.008*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistically significant correlation (p<0.05)

**Table 2.** Mean and standard deviation of hearing thresholds in the different age groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Ear</th>
<th>250Hz</th>
<th>500Hz</th>
<th>1kHz</th>
<th>2kHz</th>
<th>3kHz</th>
<th>4kHz</th>
<th>6kHz</th>
<th>8kHz</th>
<th>frequencies averages</th>
<th>high frequencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>46 a 54</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.42</td>
<td>16.25</td>
<td>12.92</td>
<td>8.82</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>10.55</td>
<td>16.25</td>
<td>11.51</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>13.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 a 45</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>13.75</td>
<td>13.44</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>10.31</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>14.06</td>
<td>8.61</td>
<td>18.13</td>
<td>15.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 a 54</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.83</td>
<td>15.83</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>10.42</td>
<td>6.56</td>
<td>17.08</td>
<td>10.52</td>
<td>13.75</td>
<td>13.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Graphic 1. Shows the comparison between the average hearing thresholds of right and left ears done all frequencies studied and compare the average of hearing thresholds of mid frequencies (500Hz, 1kHz and 2kHz) and high (3 kHz, 4 kHz and 6 kHz) for both ears.
of males, justified in terms of the professional category studied, since this also obtained in all studies cited in the literature.

Another data obtained from medical records analyzed was that there was only one information from tinnitus (1.32%), information obtained in a participant 54 years of age who had normal audiogram, bilaterally. Tinnitus is a common complaint and is present in 4% of the sample of the work of Araujo (2002) (14) and 2.75% in the work of LOPES and NELLI (2008) (15). We believe that in this study there was no association of altered thresholds and tinnitus probably by way of collecting information.

Considering the results obtained in this study, an alarming factor, though expected, was the prevalence of abnormal audiograms, since PAIR ranks second among the most frequent diseases of the hearing. In this study, the prevalence of abnormal tests was 22.36%, this figure is equivalent to other studies. In studies HANGER and BARBOSA-BRANCO (2004) (3) had a prevalence of 48% in workers exposed to noise, LOPES and NELLI (2009) (15) the prevalence was 24.75%, this professional category, previous studies showed a prevalence ranging from 4.5% to 46% (16,12,9,10,11,17,18 and 13). Although the literature indicates a high prevalence of abnormal tests in subjects exposed to occupational noise, 100% of the records analyzed reported no abuse hearing, given that observed in previous studies (19).

The comparative analysis between the mean tritonal 500, 1kHz and 2kHz and 3kHz, 4kHz and 6kHz, as can be seen in Table 1, demonstrates worse thresholds for high frequencies, meeting with literature data (20, 21, 14 and 15).

In analyzing whether age influenced the participants studied in auditory thresholds, as shown in Table 2 the age influenced the audiometric findings, the higher the age, the higher thresholds, as well as the findings of LOPES, RUSSO and FIORINI, 2007 (12) and LOPES and NELLI, 2009 (15).

By analyzing the results of audiometry admission of these workers and assuming 100% of them did not complain hearing, and the prevalence of abnormal tests was high it is essential that the audiometric assessment should not only indicate the annual prevalence of hearing impairment, but rather by midst deploy a Prevention Program hearing Loss (PPPA), which primarily promotes actions to prevent the onset or worsening of hearing loss, as well as the extra-auditory effects caused by exposure to loud noise or other risk agents to audition for professional drivers. Once you for good job performance, the driver should enjoy good health, working hours and conditions appropriate.

Finally, there is the need for greater investment in research capable of providing subsidies to create viable strategies for prevention and intervention in this population.

**Conclusion**

This study has highlighted the occurrence of hearing loss suggestive of work-related hearing loss in the absence of hearing complaints. Considering that PAIR is preventable, justifies the importance of coordinated and multidisciplinary involving not only health teams and safety, but also the institutions involved in preserving the health of workers, as the team SESMET, unions or prosecutors.
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