Results of the implantation of bone-anchored hearing aids in patients with treacher-collins syndrome
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SUMMARY

Introduction: Treacher-Collins syndrome is characterized by craniofacial malformations, narrowing of the external auditory canal (EAC), and, in 30% of cases, agenesis of the canal and ossicular chain defects. The use of hearing aids (HA) is not possible in cases in which agenesis or stenosis of the EAC accompanies conductive deafness. In contrast, bone conduction implants such as the Bone Anchored Hearing Aid (BAHA®) allow direct stimulation of the cochlea and are thus superior to conventional hearing aids in cases of severe conductive hearing loss.

Objective: To present 2 cases of patients with Treacher-Collins syndrome who underwent implantation of BAHA®.

Cases Reports: The first patient was a 52-year-old woman diagnosed with Treacher-Collins syndrome who presented with severe bilateral mixed hearing loss and a history of unsuccessful previous use of a bone contact conduction device. The BAHA® implantation was uneventful, and the post-operative results were good. The second patient was a 14-year-old girl who was also diagnosed with Treacher-Collins Syndrome with bilateral moderate conductive hearing loss by audiometry. The use of a bone vibrator contact device did not improve her hearing; however, implantation of a BAHA® resulted in a decreased gap postoperatively.

Final comments: BAHA® hearing devices provide adequate rehabilitation and consequent improvement of the quality of life in patients with Treacher-Collins syndrome.
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INTRODUCTION

Treacher-Collins syndrome is characterized by craniofacial deformities arising from the first and second branchial arches and nasal placodes, probably as a result of disruption of neural crest cell development (1).

The pinna is often distorted with excessive wrinkling and may have low implantation. The external auditory canal (EAC) is narrowed, and 30% of cases present with agenesis of the EAC or defects in the ossicular chain, both of which are accompanied by conductive hearing loss. Profound sensorineural hearing loss (SNHL) is rare in patients with this syndrome (2).

The use of individual hearing aids (HA) is impossible in these cases due to the inability to stimulate the inner ear by airway hearing conduction. Reconstructive surgery of the pinna and the EAC is extremely technically difficult, and the results have not been encouraging (2).

The Bone Anchored Hearing Aid (BAHA®) system, developed by Tjellstrom in 1977, has several advantages over conventional prosthetic osseous conduction devices and has been used in over 20,000 patients worldwide. The vibration is absorbed by the skull and directly stimulates the cochlea, making the BAHA® system superior to conventional hearing aids in cases of sensorineural and conductive (3).

Osseointegrated implants were first introduced into clinical practice in Sweden in 1977 by Tjellstrom, using Branemark system deployment, to treat patients with conductive or mixed hearing loss that could not be addressed with conventional hearing aids. The procedure is a 2-step process with an average delay of 3 months between the implantation and placement of the processor. The osseointegration of the implant within that period ensures the stability of the implant and is thus critical to the success of the BAHA® system (9,12).

The use of hearing aids or surgical techniques can treat conductive or mixed hearing loss from many causes. However, patients with agenesis or stenosis of the EAC, as well as some with chronic suppurrative otitis media (CSOM) or chronic suppurrative otitis externa (CSOE) or who have undergone open mastoidectomy, may be unable to adapt to hearing aids; alternatively, the surgical
The BAHA® system is an interesting alternative in these cases and has advantages over conventional bone conduction devices. It produces excellent results in cases of conductive hearing loss, but its use is limited in cases of associated deafness (12). The implantation surgery is a safe procedure with few major complications.

**LITERATURE REVIEW**

The use of osseointegrated implants was first introduced in 1977 in Sweden by Tjellstrom, using Branemark System deployment, to treat patients with conductive or mixed hearing loss that could not be addressed with conventional hearing aids. The procedure is a 2-step process, with an average delay of 3 months between the implantation and placement of the processor. The osseointegration of the implant during this time ensures the implant’s stability and is thus critical to the success of the BAHA® System (9,11).

The use of hearing aids or surgical techniques can treat conductive or mixed hearing loss due to many causes. However, patients with agenesis or stenosis of the EAC, as well as some with CSOM or CSOE externa or who have undergone open mastoidectomy, cannot adapt to hearing aids; alternatively, the surgical results may be poor due to the lack of stimulation of the inner ear by air or bad adaptation, which can lead to problems such as discomfort and otorrhea. The tiara type of bone conduction hearing device would be a better option in these cases. However, these implants also have drawbacks that can lead to patients’ abandoning their use. These include skin irritation due to the constant pressure on the local support of the device, poor aesthetic appeal, and the difficulty of keeping the easily removed tiara in place in children (3).

The BAHA® system is an interesting alternative in these cases and also has other advantages over conventional bone conduction (BC) devices. It produces excellent results in cases of conductive hearing loss; however, its usefulness is limited in cases of associated deafness (12). The surgical implantation is a safe procedure with few major complications.

**CASE REPORT**

CASE 1: S.A.T. was a 52-year-old woman who complained of bilateral hearing loss since birth and had been diagnosed with Treacher-Collins syndrome. Physical examination showed atresia of the EAC. Audiometry showed mixed hearing loss, and computed tomography showed severe bilateral temporal bone atresia at the EAC without changes in the middle or inner ear. (Figure 1) The patient had used a tiara-type bone contact device for 10 years but had recently noted worsening in her hearing. After jointly assessing the case with speech therapists and obtaining the patient’s consent, the clinicians opted for a BAHA® implant in the left mastoid. The surgical procedure was performed via a vertical incision technique and was uneventful. (Figure 2) One late complication occurred in that skin grew over the titanium implant; this was solved by simple resection in the doctor’s office. A 4-month period was allowed for osseointegration. The patient states that she is satisfied with the results and has noted significant improvement in her work and in everyday activities such as watching television, talking with friends, answering calls, and listening to music. She uses the prosthesis 12 hours per day with the drawback that the battery life is short (13 days). The audiometric results obtained with the use of the prosthesis showed a postoperative reduction in the speech reception threshold (SRT) by 35 dB and a speech recognition rate of 65% at 92 dB (Figure 4).

CASE 2: KVF was a 14-year-old girl who was diagnosed with Treacher-Collins and who complained of poor performance at school. Physical examination showed complete agenesis of the EAC. Audiology revealed...
moderate bilateral conductive hearing loss of 50 dB with a gap. She had used a bone contact vibrator for 3 years. BAHA® implantation was accomplished using a dermatome to prepare the skin flap, and an osseointegration period of 5 months was allowed. There were no late complications in this case. Post-implantation audiometry showed a decrease in the SRT of 35 dB with a speech recognition rate of 96% at 65 dB (Figure 5). The patient reported good adjustment to the prosthesis and improvement in her school performance and social life as well as a positive impact on her quality of life (Figure 3). Both procedures were performed in the operating room under general anesthesia, and no immediate complications such as bleeding, pain, or infection were noted. Both patients have learned to maintain proper hygiene and care of the implant.

**DISCUSSION**

The bone conduction prosthesis was developed by Tjellstrom in 1977. The implant unit consists of a titanium screw that is implanted in the mastoid cortical bone via an external approach. This unit is separate from the processor that captures the sound energy of the environment and transforms it into mechanical energy, which is translated into vibration by the stimulation of the cranial cortical bone.
The tiara-type bone vibration prosthesis was previously the only recourse in cases of conductive hearing loss that did not benefit from the use of a hearing aid (HA), as in cases of congenital deformities of the ear and CAE. However, this device has some disadvantages that prevent its suitability in many cases, such as discomfort from the constant pressure exerted by the tiara, poor sound quality due to attenuation of high frequency sounds by the skin, positioning difficulties in children, and aesthetic considerations. The bone conduction prosthesis avoids some of these problems: it does not put any pressure on the skin, produces better sound quality, remains firmly positioned in the temporal bone, and is more aesthetically acceptable.

The limitations of prosthetic hearing devices in cases of sensorineural dysacusia require careful assessment and selection of the candidate patient. There are no limitations to their use in patients with conductive hearing loss as the auditory stimulation bypasses the airway (2). In order to avoid complications, tomography of the temporal bones should always be performed prior to surgery in order to assess the thickness of the skull and the positions of the facial nerve and sigmoid sinus (5).

The placement of bone conduction prostheses is a low-risk surgery that provides an excellent alternative for patients with congenital deformities, such as those occurring in cases of Treacher-Collins syndrome. Surgical treatment of atresia of the EAC does not produce good results in many cases. The potential major complications of bone conduction implant placement include restenosis, lateralization of the facial nerve, facial nerve damage, and temporomandibular joint dysfunction (7). The incidence of restenosis is approximately 20 to 50% (8). When the implant is to be unilateral, it should be placed on the side with the best sensorineural threshold. Studies have shown that bilateral BAHA improves patient satisfaction in cases with symmetrical thresholds (3,7).

The process of osseointegration produces a direct structural and functional connection between the bone and implant surface without intermediary fibrous tissue. The osseointegration reflects the healing of the endosteum, a process involving hematoma formation buffer, buffer resolution, migration of osteogenic cells, and bone formation. The process of bone healing adjacent to the implant is identical to physiological healing. Postoperatively, ankylosis occurs at the interface at which the implant contacts the bone surface and is maintained through a dynamic equilibrium after osseointegration (10).

The major potential intra-operative problems are exposure of the dura mater, exposure of the sigmoid sinus, and opening of the mastoid cells. In children, the thinness of the skull may result in incomplete deployment of the titanium pin. The potential post-operative complications include flap necrosis or redness, irritation, or granulation of the flap, osseointegration failure, trauma, and surgical site infection. The frequency of implant loss is approximately 5% (8) and is slightly higher in children, in whom it ranges from 7.5 to 15% (2, 4). Major complications such as cerebral abscesses are rare (6).

BAHA® implantation is a low-risk surgery that provides an excellent alternative for patients with congenital deformities, such as those occurring in cases of Treacher-Collins syndrome. Surgical treatment of atresia of the EAC does not produce good results in many cases.

**FINAL CONSIDERATIONS**

BAHA® systems provide good rehabilitation and consequently improve the quality of life of patients with Treacher-Collins syndrome.
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