FFR—Is It Reliable and Sufficient Tool in Stable Patients?
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Fractional flow reserve (FFR) is a tool for assessment of significance of intermediate coronary lesions.\(^1\)\(\text{-}\)\(^3\) It is useful not only in the single coronary lesion, but also in the multivessel disease (MVD).\(^4\) When angiographically tighter triple vessel disease (TVD) is seen, then the decision may be taken for coronary bypass surgery depending on the anatomical severity. In fact, if functional assessment is done with FFR, then true TVD or not can be known, which may change the revascularization modality of treatment.

The three important randomized controlled trails on FFR (FAME-2,\(^5\) DANAMI-3-PRIMULTI,\(^6\) and COMPARE-ACUTE\(^7\)), where deferred revascularization depending on the FFR value, showed that it was safer, even though the last two trials were done in acute coronary syndrome (ACS) patients. On contrary, this present study\(^8\) shows that the incidence of target lesion failure in intermediate stenosis with deferred revascularization (FFR > 0.8) was high (5.8%).

Shabbir et al,\(^8\) in their study, suggested that predicting 1-year rates of deferred lesion intervention (DLI) based on simple characteristics available at the time of index FFR measurement was presence of diabetes mellitus, left ventricular dysfunction, and ACS presentation. But this proposition is also controversial. If patients categorized as high risk for DLI and who have an FFR of 0.8 to 0.85 will have better clinical outcomes with intervention at the time of index cardiac catheterization is still unknown, and the use of this risk model in conjunction with FFR may lead to improved patient outcomes.\(^9\)

There are multiple limitations to the study. First, it is a descriptive observational study where 102 patients with 104 lesions are treated. Randomized design with large cohorts is required to validate the findings.

Second, the site of the intermediate stenosis is not taken into account. In one study, “Significance of intermediate values of FFR in patients with CAD,”\(^10\) the authors concluded that in intermediate stenosis with FFR in and around the gray zone \(^*\)bears a major prognostic impact, especially in proximal lesions of left anterior descending artery (LAD). In this regard, deferring revascularization in proximal LAD, especially the gray zone FFR, is not appropriate, where clinical decision and additional physiological indices need to be considered.

Third, only chronic stable angina patients are included in contrary to a real-world scenario where ACS patients are major challenging to treat.

Fourth, single vessel disease is taken, and MVD is excluded where the estimation of FFR is challenging.

DEFINE-FLAIR and iFR-SWEDEHEART trials showed the importance of other modalities of physiological assessment of coronary lesion, that is, instantaneous wave-free ratio (iFR). According to these authors, iFR is noninferior to FFR in predicting the clinical outcomes (especially for the ischemia-driven revascularization).\(^11\)\(^,\)\(^12\)

Recently, multiple coronary diastolic phase indices are introduced which requires validation.
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