In our quest to make treatments better, safer, acceptable, and minimally invasive to the patients, gamma knife radiosurgery (GKRS) has made a paradigm shift in the management of various intracranial malformations, especially arteriovenous malformations (AVM).\textsuperscript{1} After its efficacy in successful obliteration of AVM nidus by Steiner et al in 1972 and later disappearance of intranidal aneurysms with nidus obliteration, GKRS is viewed as a potential treatment option for aneurysmal obliteration.\textsuperscript{2} Aneurysms associated with AVM show occlusion in nearly 71\% of the patients (especially if they are flow-related intranidal or postnidal aneurysms), but this happens because of AVM obliteration and flow reduction.\textsuperscript{3,4} The hypothetical goals of GKRS for aneurysm are volumetric reduction and wall thickening to obliterate it. The results were disappointing for GKRS for berry aneurysms. It is high time to understand the differential efficacy of GKRS for berry aneurysms and the future directions for a research on the same.
Histopathological Changes after Gamma Knife Radiosurgery of Arteriovenous Malformation

The autopsy specimen of irradiated AVM has revealed different stages of tissue reactions that include endothelial destruction, granulation tissue formation, followed by spindle cell infiltration, scar tissue replacement, and hyaline degeneration. Schneider et al found expansion of extracellular matrix with hyaline and calcium deposition and hypertrophy of collage type V to consolidate the obliterate process. In completely obliterated AVMs, the nidus is replaced by hyaline scar and only vessels contour is recognizable without any identifiable lumen. The incompletely obliterated AVMs have lumen obstructed by fibrin thrombi (→ Fig. 1).5

How Is It Different for Arteriovenous Malformation and Aneurysm?

Apart from the difference in the vessel wall, the differential outcome is predominantly decided by the neighborhood of the pathology. AVMs are intraparenchymal structures while aneurysm bathe in cerebrospinal fluid. The connective tissue stroma surrounding an AVM is rich in spindle-shaped cells and myofibroblast. Radiosurgery causes rapid activation of myofibroblast in the connective tissue stroma and helps in the hyalinization of the occluded vessels periphery. It is the hyalinization of the scar tissue which stabilizes the obstructed AVM vessels once they get occluded. An aneurysm lacks that neighborhood of connective tissue stroma that could stabilize a vessel wall undergoing changes with radiosurgery. This makes other vascular pathologies relatively resistant to the radiosurgery as cavernomas are usually surrounded by hemosiderin ring and fistulas also similar surroundings.8

Can We Irradiate Incidental Aneurysms?

All treatments for aneurysm occlusion are invasive to variable extent; hence, no consensus guideline could be drawn for incidental aneurysms. Many times, patients agree to opt for watchful observation with all the inherent risks known. If found successful, GKRS may be a noninvasive option for incidental unruptured intracranial aneurysms. In view of differential natural history and mortality profile of an incidental aneurysm with AVM, it remains imperative to analyze the obliteration rate of an aneurysm after radiosurgery. Stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) reduces the risk of AVM hemorrhage, which is indirectly driven by factors such as marginal dose, location, size, associated anomalies (such as aneurysms and fistula), etc. Using the hemorrhage rate of 2 to 4%, there does not seem to be significant change of hemorrhage rate following SRS until the AVM is completely

Fig. 1 Morphological changes in arteriovenous malformation after gamma knife radiosurgery, AVM, arteriovenous malformations; GFAP, glial fibrillary acidic protein; GKRS, gamma knife radiosurgery.
obiterated. Theoretically, the latency period for aneurysm obliteration must be higher than an AVM. An important consideration should be given to a possibility of wall thinning after radiosurgery and iatrogenic pseudo aneurysm formation. There are published reports of pseudo-aneurysm formation in superior cerebellar artery (SCA) and anterior inferior cerebellar artery in cases of trigeminal neuralgia, and meningoia of cerebellopontine angle. Another patient developed a pseudoaneurysm in petrous segment of internal carotid artery, following GKRS for pituitary adenoma (PA). This patient needed high-flow bypass and trapping of aneurysm and obtained good recovery. With GKRS, this possibility remains higher than other radio surgical tools as gamma knife is a heterogeneous dose distribution, and a hotspot on the vessel wall may cause focal elastin degeneration and wall weakening.

Conclusion
Results of GKRS for berry aneurysms may be improved by two hypothetical improvements: with a supporting neighborhood or sensitization of the vessel wall. A subarachnoid infiltration of myofibroblast rich medium in the vicinity of aneurysm may help in the radiation induced fibrosis further supporting intraluminal inflammation. A sensitizing agent to irradiation may also promote saccular wall thickening and lumen occlusion. Future laboratory-based studies are warranted to solicit conclusive answers.

Key Message
Gamma knife radiosurgery leads to vascular wall thickening, histopathological changes, and linear volumetric reduction of the vascular lumen. The result of radiosurgery in an aneurysm is not as robust as for an arteriovenous malformation. Gamma knife radiosurgery remains a futuristic viable, minimally invasive option for unruptured intracranial saccular aneurysm.
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